

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/826,357	06/14/2000	Ralf Haferbeck	P00,1277	3957	
75	90 01/19/2006		EXAMINER		
Kevin R Spivak			TSEGAYE, SABA		
Morrison & Foerster LLP 2000 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20006-1888			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2662		
			DATE MAILED: 01/19/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/826,357	HAFERBECK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Saba Tsegaye	2662	

	Saba Tsegaye	2662				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 December 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL	aliaman with 27 OFR 44 27 mount ha	filed within two month	a of the data of			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
AMENDMENTS	hat animate the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0001100			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.				
		moliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	oxtimes will not be entered, or b) $oxtimes$ wivided below or appended.	ll be entered and an	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
 The request for reconsideration has been considered be <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 			nce because:			
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	۷o(s)				
13.		JOHN PEZZLO				
	F	PRIMARY EXAMINE	₽			

Application/Control Number: 09/826,357 Page 2

Art Unit: 2662

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant admitted that Okabe discloses limiting the number of bits representing VPI/VCI bits.

However, Applicant argues that Okabe does not show "limiting the number of VPI/VCI bits according to a number of ATM connections available for processing as indicated in the AAL2 routing lists." Examiner respectfully submitted that the rejection is based on the combined teaching of the Dempo patent and Okabe patent, and that the Dempo patent, as pointed out in the final Office Action does teaches this feature. Examiner believes that the claims, given their broad reasonable interpretation, read on the references applied.